

Vector-valued modular forms and generalized Moonshine

Dubrovnik, June 2009

Introduction

Modular forms: holomorphic functions $f: \mathbf{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ such that

$$f\left(\frac{a\tau + b}{c\tau + d}\right) = (c\tau + d)^w f(\tau)$$

for all $\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ and some (even integer) number w .

$f(\tau)$ is periodic \Rightarrow q -expansion (with $q = e^{2\pi i\tau}$)

$$f = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} f_n q^n$$

Classically: holomorphicity at $\tau = i\infty$.

More generally: finite order pole.

Example: Eisenstein series (for $k > 1$)

$$E_{2k}(q) = 1 - \frac{2k}{B_k} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sigma_{k-1}(n) q^n$$

where

$$\sigma_k(n) = \sum_{d|n} d^k$$

and B_k is the k -th Bernoulli number.

$$E_{2k}\left(\frac{a\tau + b}{c\tau + d}\right) = (c\tau + d)^{2k} E_{2k}(\tau)$$

Any holomorphic modular form is a polynomial in E_4 and E_6 .

Examples: $E_8 = E_4^2$ and $E_{10} = E_4 E_6$.

Discriminant form (weight 12)

$$\Delta = \frac{1}{1728} (E_4^3 - E_6^2) = q \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 - q^n)^{24}$$

Doesn't vanish on \mathbf{H} !

Hauptmodul (weight 0)

$$J(q) = \frac{E_4^3}{\Delta} - 744 = q^{-1} + 196884q + 21493760q^2 + \dots$$

Univalent map $J: X(1) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1$.

Any modular form of weight 0 is a polynomial in $J(q)$.

Applications:

- function theory
- algebraic geometry (STWW)
- number theory (CFT)
- combinatorics (partitions)
- topology (elliptic cohomology)

- algebra (VOA-s)
- physics (string theory and 2d CFT)
- Moonshine

Need for a theory of vector-valued modular forms.

Vector-valued modular forms

Holomorphic maps $\mathbb{X}:\mathbf{H}\rightarrow\mathbb{C}^d$ such that

$$\mathbb{X}\left(\frac{a\tau+b}{c\tau+d}\right) = (c\tau+d)^w \rho\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \mathbb{X}(\tau)$$

ρ is a suitable (projective) representation of $SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ (more precisely, an ordinary representation of B_3).

Exponent matrix: $\exp(2\pi i\Lambda) = \rho\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$.

q -expansion:

$$\exp(-2\pi i\Lambda\tau) \mathbb{X}(\tau) = \sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{X}[n] q^n$$

\mathbb{X} meromorphic at $\tau = i\infty$ if singular part $\mathcal{P}\mathbb{X} = \sum_{n<0} \mathbb{X}[n] q^n$ is a finite sum.

Thanks to Δ , general case may be reduced to $w = 0$, in which case ρ is a true representation of $\mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$.

$\mathcal{M}(\rho)$: linear space of weight 0 forms.

Singular part map $\mathcal{P}: \mathcal{M}(\rho) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}[q^{-1}]^d$ is affected by choice of Λ .

Is there a "best" choice?

Trace condition: \mathcal{P} is bijective if and only if

$$\mathrm{Tr}(\Lambda) = d - \frac{\alpha}{2} - \frac{\beta_1 + 2\beta_2}{3}$$

$d, \alpha, \beta_1, \beta_2$: nonnegative integers (signature of ρ).

The fundamental matrix

Multiplication by $J(q)$ takes $\mathcal{M}(\rho)$ to itself \Rightarrow

$\mathcal{M}(\rho)$ is a $\mathbb{C}[J]$ -module (of finite rank)

Fundamental matrix $\Xi(q)$:

1. Columns generate $\mathcal{M}(\rho)$;
2. $\Xi(q) \rightarrow q^{\Lambda-1}$ as $q \rightarrow 0$.

Exists and is unique provided \mathcal{P} is bijective.

The inversion formula

Determinantal formula:

$$\det \Xi(q) = \left(\frac{E_4(q)}{\Delta(q)^{1/3}} \right)^{\beta_1 + 2\beta_2} \left(\frac{E_6(q)}{\Delta(q)^{1/2}} \right)^\alpha$$

$\Rightarrow \Xi(q)$ is invertible (except at **elliptic points**).

Transformation rule:

$$\Xi\left(\frac{a\tau + b}{c\tau + d}\right) = \rho\left(\begin{matrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{matrix}\right) \Xi(\tau)$$

\Rightarrow each component of $J\mathbb{X} = \Xi(q)^{-1}\mathbb{X}(q)$ is a polynomial in $J(q)$.

Three alternative representations:

1. polynomial representation $\mathcal{J}\mathbb{X} = \Xi(q)^{-1} \mathbb{X}(q)$;

2. q -expansion $\mathbb{X}(q) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{X}[n] q^{\Lambda+n}$;

3. singular part $\mathcal{P}\mathbb{X} = \sum_{n < 0} \mathbb{X}[n] q^n$.

Inversion formula:

$$\mathcal{J}\mathbb{X}(w) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint \frac{J'(q)}{w - J(q)} \Xi(q)^{-1} q^{\Lambda} \mathcal{P}\mathbb{X}(q) dq$$

The compatibility equation

Should we know $\Xi(q)$, we would know everything about $\mathcal{M}(\rho)$.

How can we determine $\Xi(q)$?

$\mathcal{M}(\rho)$ is a differential module: the (universal) differential operator

$$\nabla = \frac{E_{10}(q)}{\Delta(q)} q \frac{d}{dq}$$

maps $\mathcal{M}(\rho)$ to itself \Rightarrow the matrix entries of

$$\mathcal{D}(q) = \Xi(q)^{-1} \nabla \Xi(q)$$

are (first order) polynomials in $J(q)$.

$$\mathcal{D}(q) = J(q) (\Lambda - 1) + \Lambda \mathcal{X} - (\mathcal{X} + 240) (\Lambda - 1)$$

Characteristic matrix ("constant part" of Ξ):

$$\mathcal{X} = \lim_{q \rightarrow 0} (q^{-\Lambda} \Xi(q) - q^{-1})$$

The pair (Λ, \mathcal{X}) characterizes the representation ρ .

Compatibility equation

$$\nabla \Xi(q) = \Xi(q) \mathcal{D}(q)$$

First order (Fuchsian) ODE !

Boundary condition: existence of \mathcal{X} .

May be solved, e.g. recursively.

How can we determine \mathcal{X} ?

1. Rademacher-Petersson formula

$$\mathcal{X}_{ij} = 2\pi \sqrt{\frac{1-\Lambda_{jj}}{\Lambda_{ii}}} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n} I_1 \left(\frac{4\pi}{n} \sqrt{(1-\Lambda_{jj})\Lambda_{ii}} \right) \mathcal{S}_{ij}(n)$$

Problems: poor convergence, arithmetic properties, computation of Kloosterman-sums.

2. Invariant theory

Polynomial invariants (covariants) of $\rho \rightarrow$ polynomial relations between the matrix elements of $\Xi(q)$ and \mathcal{X} .

Problem: hard to compute.

3. Spectral condition

The matrices

$$\mathcal{A} = \frac{31}{36} (1 - \Lambda) - \frac{1}{864} (\mathcal{X} + \Lambda \mathcal{X} - \mathcal{X} \Lambda)$$

and

$$\mathcal{B} = \frac{41}{24} (1 - \Lambda) + \frac{1}{576} (\mathcal{X} + \Lambda \mathcal{X} - \mathcal{X} \Lambda)$$

satisfy the **monodromy equation**

$$\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{A} - 1) = \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{B} - 1)(\mathcal{B} - 2) = 0$$

System of quadratic equations for \mathcal{X} (given Λ).

Overdetermined for $d < 6$.

Example: the **Ising model** ($c = \frac{1}{2}$).

Signature: (3, 1, 1, 1).

$$\Lambda = \frac{1}{48} \begin{pmatrix} 47 & & \\ & 23 & \\ & & 2 \end{pmatrix} \quad \rho \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & \sqrt{2} \\ 1 & 1 & -\sqrt{2} \\ \sqrt{2} & -\sqrt{2} & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$q^{-\Lambda} \Xi(q) = q^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 2325 & 94208 \\ 1 & 275 & -4096 \\ 1 & -25 & -23 \end{pmatrix} +$$

$$+ q \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 60630 & 9515008 \\ 1 & 13250 & -1130496 \\ 1 & -4121 & 253 \end{pmatrix} + q^2 \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 811950 & 356765696 \\ 1 & 235500 & -63401984 \\ 1 & -102425 & -1794 \end{pmatrix} + \dots$$

Moonshine

McKay: $196884 = 196883 + 1$.

Conway and Norton: Monstrous Moonshine.

Frenkel, Lepowsky and Meurman: Moonshine module.

Borcherds: proof of the Moonshine conjectures.

Norton: generalized Moonshine.

Dixon, Ginsparg and Harvey: relation to orbifold CFT.

Generalized Thompson-McKay series: $Z(g, h|\tau)$ is the graded trace of h in the g -twisted module ($gh = hg$).

1. $Z(g^z, h^z|\tau) = Z(g, h|\tau)$ for all $z \in \mathbb{M}$;

2. $Z(g^{-1}, h^{-1}|\tau) = Z(g, h|\tau)$;

3. **Equivariance**

$$Z\left(g, h \left| \frac{a\tau + b}{c\tau + d} \right. \right) = \alpha Z(g^a h^b, g^c h^d|\tau)$$

for $\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ and some cocycle factor α ;

4. $Z(g, h|\tau)$ is either constant or a Hauptmodul.

Replication

n -fold symmetric product: orbifold with respect to all permutations of n identical copies of the Moonshine module.

Automorphism group contains the Monster (diagonal action) \rightsquigarrow higher Thompson-McKay series $Z^{(n)}(g, h|\tau)$.

$$Z^{(n)}(g, h|\tau) = \frac{1}{n!} \sum_{\substack{xy=yx \\ x, y \in S_n}} \prod_{\xi \in \mathcal{O}(x, y)} Z\left(g^{\lambda_\xi}, g^{\kappa_\xi} h^{\mu_\xi} \left| \frac{\mu_\xi \tau + \kappa_\xi}{\lambda_\xi} \right.\right)$$

$\lambda_\xi, \mu_\xi, \kappa_\xi$: integers characterizing the orbit $\xi \in \mathcal{O}(x, y)$.

Replication: $Z^{(n)}(g, h|\tau)$ is a polynomial in $Z(g, h|\tau)$.

For each n , the $Z^{(n)}(g, h|\tau)$ form a vector-valued modular form, with same automorphy factor as $Z(g, h|\tau)$.

But: in this **monomial** basis the Dehn-twist is not diagonal \rightsquigarrow change to **primary** basis.

$$Z^{(n)}(g, h|\tau) = \sum_i Z_i^{(n)}(\tau) \psi_i(g, h)$$

By general theory, there exists $Z_i^{(n)}(J) \in \mathbb{C}[J]$ such that

$$Z^{(n)}(g, h|\tau) = \sum_i Z_i^{(n)}(J) \zeta_i(g, h|\tau)$$

for some 'elementary' Thompson-McKay series $\zeta_i(g, h|\tau)$.

Differential equation

$$\nabla \zeta_i(g, h|\tau) = \sum_j \mathcal{D}_{ji}(\tau) \zeta_j(g, h|\tau)$$

Boundary condition: behavior of $\zeta_i(g, h|\tau)$ as $\tau \rightarrow i\infty$.

Product of two TM-series is again a TM-series (if no cocycle factors) \Rightarrow

$$\zeta_i(g, h|\tau) \zeta_j(g, h|\tau) = \sum_k C_{ij}^k(\tau) \zeta_k(g, h|\tau)$$

where the coefficients C_{ij}^k are polynomials in $J(\tau)$.

Example: $G = \mathbb{Z}_2$.

$$\Lambda = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & & & \\ & \frac{1}{2} & & \\ & & 1 & \\ & & & 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad \rho \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & -1 & -1 \\ 1 & -1 & 1 & -1 \\ 1 & -1 & -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$q^{-\Lambda} \Xi(q) = q^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} -24 & 24 & 1 & 1 \\ 4096 & 276 & 0 & 0 \\ 98304 & -2048 & 0 & 0 \\ 98304 & -2048 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} +$$

$$q \begin{pmatrix} 98580 & 2048 & 0 & 0 \\ 1228800 & 11202 & 0 & 0 \\ 10747904 & -49152 & 0 & 0 \\ 10747904 & -49152 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} + q^2 \begin{pmatrix} 10745856 & 49152 & 0 & 0 \\ 74244096 & 184024 & 0 & 0 \\ 432144384 & -614400 & 0 & 0 \\ 432144384 & -614400 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} + \dots$$

	ζ_1	ζ_2	ζ_3	ζ_4
$(1, 1)$	$J(\tau) - 24$	24	2	1
$(1, x)$	$T_{2B}(\tau) - 24$	$T_{2A}(\tau) - T_{2B}(\tau) + 24$	0	1
$(x, 1)$	$T_{2A}\left(\frac{\tau}{2}\right) - T_{2B}\left(\frac{\tau}{2}\right)$	$T_{2B}\left(\frac{\tau}{2}\right)$	0	1
(x, x)	$T_{2A}\left(\frac{\tau+1}{2}\right) - T_{2B}\left(\frac{\tau+1}{2}\right)$	$T_{2B}\left(\frac{\tau+1}{2}\right)$	0	1

$$T_{2A} = q^{-1} + 4372q + 96256q^2 + \dots \text{ and } T_{2B} = q^{-1} + 276q - 2048q^2 + \dots$$

★	ζ_1	ζ_2	ζ_3	ζ_4
ζ_1	$(J-24)\zeta_1 - 4096\zeta_2 + 98304(\zeta_3 - \zeta_4)$			
ζ_2	$24\zeta_1 - 2048\zeta_3 + 4096\zeta_4$	$-\zeta_1 + (J+552)\zeta_4$		
ζ_3	$(J-24)\zeta_3$	$24\zeta_3$	$2\zeta_3$	
ζ_4	ζ_1	ζ_2	ζ_3	ζ_4

Outlook

- vector-valued modular forms pretty much under control
- explicit computational procedures
- applications to Moonshine

and open questions

- automorphic forms for other groups
- Hecke operators
- Jacobi and Siegel forms